## Econometrics Preliminary Exam July, 2017

## Read the instructions below before starting the exam:

- The preliminary exam consists of three parts (one for each quarter of Econ 480).
- You have 180 minutes (1 hour for each part) to solve this exam.
- This is a closed book and notes exam.
- You can use one page (both sides) of hand-written or typed notes.
- Remember to write your name on each examination booklet and on the space provided below.
- You may use a calculator if needed
- Please keep your written answers brief; be clear and to the point.
- We recommend that you circle or otherwise indicate your final answers.
- There is no choice, so remember to answer all questions.
- Good luck!

PLEASE WRITE EACH PART OF THE EXAM IN A SEPARATE BLUE BOOK.

Name (First Last): $\qquad$

Consider a population J of travelers, each member of which chooses between two travel modes, labeled 0 and 1. The utility of mode c to person j is $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{jcy}}=\alpha \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j} c \gamma}+\beta \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j} \text { c }}$. Here x is travel time, z is travel cost, $(\alpha, \beta)$ are parameters, and $\gamma$ denotes the degree of travel congestion. The presence of a $\gamma$-subscript on $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{jcy}}$ indicates that travel time may vary with $\gamma$. The absence of a $\gamma$-subscript on $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{jc}}$ indicates that travel cost does not vary with the degree of congestion.

Assume that travelers do not know $\gamma$ when they make their decisions. Assume person j chooses a mode that maximizes subjective expected utility $\int_{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j} ~}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{j}}$, where $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j} ~}$ is the subjective distribution that j places on $\gamma$. The expected utility of mode c to person j is $\alpha \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{jc}}+\beta \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j} \mathrm{c}}$, where $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{jc}} \equiv \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j} \mathrm{j} \gamma} \mathrm{dQ}_{\mathrm{jy}}$. Let $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ denote the travel mode chosen by person j .

A researcher wants to learn the values of $(\alpha, \beta)$. He knows a priori that $\alpha<0$ and $\beta<0$. For each person $j$ $\in J$, the researcher observes $\left(d_{j}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j} 1}\right)$. The researcher does not observe $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 0}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 1}\right)$, but he can place credible bounds on their values. Specifically, he knows that $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 0}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 1}\right) \in\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{~L}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{U}}\right] \times\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 1 \mathrm{~L}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 1 \mathrm{U}}\right]$, where L and U denote the lower and upper bounds.

A (12 points). What is the identification region for $(\alpha, \beta)$ ? Be as explicit as possible.
B (12 points). Is the assumed model of expected utility maximization refutable? If so, give the conditions in which it is refuted. If not, explain why the model is not refutable.

C (12 points). Is the assumption that each person j has utility function $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{jcy}}=\alpha \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{jcy}}+\beta \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{jc}}$ refutable? If so, give the conditions in which it is refuted. If not, explain why the assumption is not refutable.

D (12 points). Suppose that, instead of observing ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j} 1}$ ) for every person in the population, the researcher observes these values for a sample of N persons. The researcher does not know the process generating the sample; for example, it need not be a random sample. What do the observed data reveal about the identification region for $(\alpha, \beta)$ ?

E (12 points). Suppose the researcher believes that persons do not have complete subjective distributions on $\gamma$ and, hence, cannot maximize expected utility. Instead, the researcher assumes that each person j knows the bounds $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 0}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 1}\right) \in\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{~L}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{O}}\right] \times\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 1 \mathrm{~L}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j} 1 \mathrm{U}}\right]$ and chooses a mode that maximizes minimum expected utility. Now what is the identification region for $(\alpha, \beta)$ ? Be as explicit as possible.

## Prelim Question, July 2017

Do all problems, show all work, and define any symbols you use that are different from those in the problem statements.

Problem 1 (20 points):

Let the scalar variables $Y$ and $X^{*}$ be related by

$$
Y=\beta X^{*}+U
$$

where $E\left(X^{*}\right)=0, E\left(X^{* 2}\right)=\sigma_{X^{*}}^{2}<\infty, U$ is independent of $X^{*}, E(U)=0$, and $E\left(U^{2}\right)=\sigma_{U}^{2}<\infty$. $X^{*}$ is not observed. Instead, you observe $X$, where

$$
X=X^{*}+\varepsilon
$$

$\varepsilon$ is independent of $X^{*}$ and $U, E(\varepsilon)=0$, and $E\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)=\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}$.
a. Suppose there are two independent observations of $X$ for each observation of $Y$. That is, the data are the random sample $\left\{Y_{i}, X_{i 1}, X_{i 2}: i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$, where

$$
X_{i j}=X_{i}^{*}+\varepsilon_{i j} ; \quad(j=1,2)
$$

$X_{i}^{*}$ is the true but unobserved value of $X^{*}$ corresponding to $Y_{i}, E\left(\varepsilon_{i j}\right)=0, E\left(\varepsilon_{i j}^{2}\right)=\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}$, and $\varepsilon_{i 1}$ and $\varepsilon_{i 2}$ are independent of each other and of $X_{i}^{*}$ and $U_{i}$. Can you estimate $\beta$ consistently? If yes, display an estimator and prove that it is consistent.
b. Suppose there is only one observation of $X$ for each observation of $Y$. Thus, the data are the random sample $\left\{Y_{i}, X_{i}: i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$. Define

$$
\hat{\beta}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}^{2} X_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} X_{i}^{2}}
$$

Under what conditions, if any, is $\hat{\beta}$ consistent for $\beta$ ? Prove your result.

## Problem 2 (40 points)

Let the scalar parameter $\beta$ be estimated by

$$
\hat{\beta}=\arg \min _{b \in B} Q_{n}(b)
$$

where $B$ is a parameter set, $Q_{n}$ is a random function, and $n$ is the sample size. Assume that
i. $\quad \beta$ is an interior point of $B$, and $n^{1 / 2}(\hat{\beta}-\beta) \rightarrow^{d} N\left(0, \sigma_{\beta}^{2}\right)$ for some $\sigma_{\beta}^{2}<\infty$.
ii. $Q_{n}$ is twice continuously differentiable, and for any sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ such that $b_{n} \rightarrow \beta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\frac{1}{n} \frac{d^{2} Q_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)}{d b^{2}} \rightarrow a
$$

for some finite, non-stochastic constant $a$.
iii. $\sigma_{\beta}^{2}$ and $a$ are known.

You want to test the hypothesis $H_{0}: \beta=\beta_{0}$, where $\beta_{0}$ is an interior point of $B$.
a. If $H_{0}$ is true, then $Q_{n}\left(\beta_{0}\right)-Q_{n}(\hat{\beta})$ differs from zero only due to random sampling error in $\hat{\beta}$. $H_{0}$ is rejected if $Q_{n}\left(\beta_{0}\right)-Q_{n}(\hat{\beta})$ is too large. Derive a test statistic that implements this idea and is asymptotically distributed as $\chi^{2}$ with one degree of freedom if $H_{0}$ is true.
b. Is there a function $Q_{n}$ for which your statistic does not depend on $\sigma_{\beta}^{2}$ and $a$ ? If so, what is that function? Prove your result.
c. If $H_{0}$ is true, then $d Q_{n}\left(\beta_{0}\right) / d b$ differs from zero only due to random sampling error in $Q_{n}$. $H_{0}$ is rejected if $d Q_{n}\left(\beta_{0}\right) / d b$ is too different from zero. Derive a test statistic that implements this idea and is asymptotically distributed as $\chi^{2}$ with one degree of freedom if $H_{0}$ is true.
d. What are the asymptotic distributions of the statistics in parts a and c under the sequence of local alternative hypotheses $H_{1}: \beta=\beta_{0}+n^{-1 / 2} \Delta$ ? Present the derivation. Do not just present the result. Assume that (i)-(iii) hold under $H_{1}$.

## Econometrics Preliminary Exam Part III ( 60 points) - July, 2017

## Question 1

 30Let $\left(Y, X, Z_{1}, Z_{2}, U\right)$ be a random vector where all variables take values in $\mathbf{R}$ and have finite first and second moments. Suppose that the causal model for $Y$ states that, for $\beta=\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}\right)^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$,

$$
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X+U,
$$

where it is known that $U \mid Z_{j} \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ for $j=\{1,2\}$.
(a) (10 points) Ignore $Z_{2}$ for the moment and assume you only observe ( $Y, X, Z_{1}$ ). Show that $\beta_{1}$ is identified, clearly stating all conditions required for your result to go through.
(b) (10 points) Now we wish to exploit the presence of $Z_{2}$. Assume then that you have a random sample of $n$ i.i.d. observations from the distribution of $\left(Y, X, Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ and that $E\left[X Z_{2}\right]>E\left[X Z_{1}\right]>0$. Consider the following estimator of $\beta_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{1, i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{1, i}\right)\left(Y_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} Z_{2, i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{1, i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{1, i}\right)\left(X_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} Z_{2, i}\right)} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \mathbb{V})$ with $\mathbb{V}=\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left[Z_{1}\right]}{\left(E\left[X\left(Z_{1}-E\left[Z_{1}\right]\right)\right]\right)^{2}} \sigma^{2}$.
(c) (10 points) Consider an alternative approach to exploit $Z_{2}$, involving the following two steps:

- Step 1. Project $Y$ on $Z_{1}$ (and a constant) and let $\hat{Y}_{i}$ be the predicted value of $Y$ from this projection. Project $X$ on $Z_{1}$ (and a constant) and let $\hat{X}_{i}$ be the predicted value of $X$ from this projection.
- Step 2. Regress $\hat{Y}_{i}$ on $\hat{X}_{i}$ (and a constant) using $Z_{2}$ as an instrument for $\hat{X}_{i}$. This leads to the following estimator of $\beta_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{1}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{2, i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{2, i}\right) \hat{Y}_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{2, i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{2, i}\right) \hat{X}_{i}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}^{*}-\beta_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \mathbb{V}^{*}\right)$ and find an expression for $\mathbb{V}^{*}$.

Question 2
Consider the following Difference-in-Differences setup with $\mathcal{J}_{1}=\{1\}$ treated groups, $\mathcal{J}_{0}$ controls groups, $\mathcal{T}_{0}=\{1\}$ untreated periods, and $\mathcal{T}_{1}=\{2\}$ treated periods. Outcomes are observed for two groups for two time periods. One of the groups (group 1) is exposed to a treatment in period 2 but not in period 1. The second groups is not exposed to the treatment. To be specific, let

$$
\left\{\left(Y_{j, t}, D_{j, t}\right): j \in \mathcal{J}_{0} \cup \mathcal{J}_{1} \text { and } t \in\{1,2\}\right\}
$$

denote the observed data, where $Y_{j, t}$ and $D_{j, t} \in\{0,1\}$ denote the outcome and treatment status of group $j$ at time $t$. Assume further that

$$
Y_{j, t}(0)=\lambda_{t} \eta_{j}+\gamma_{t}+U_{j, t}
$$

for all $(j, t)$, where $E\left[U_{j, t}\right]=0$, and $\eta_{j}, \gamma_{t}$, and $\lambda_{t}$ are (non-random) group, time effects, and factor loadings, respectively. The parameter of interest is

$$
\theta=E\left[Y_{1,2}(1)-Y_{1,2}(0)\right] .
$$

(a) (10 points) Two natural approaches to identify $\theta$ are: (a.1) compare $Y_{1,2}$ with $Y_{j, 2}$ for some $j \in \mathcal{J}_{0}$, and (a.2) compare $Y_{1,2}$ with $Y_{1,1}$. Explain clearly why each of these two approaches do not identify $\theta$ without additional assumptions.
(b) (10 points) Assume $\mathcal{J}_{0}=\{2\}$ and show whether a difference-in-differences approach identifies $\theta$ under the above assumptions. If the answer is yes, explain what is the fundamental assumption that delivers identification. If the answer is no, explain what is the fundamental missing assumption that does not permit identification.
(c) (10 points) Suppose that there exist non-random weights $\left\{w_{j}^{*}: j \in \mathcal{J}_{0}, w_{j}^{*} \geq 0, \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_{0}} w_{j}^{*}=1\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1,1}=\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_{0}} w_{j}^{*} Y_{j, 1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let

$$
\tilde{Y}_{1,2}(0)=\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_{0}} w_{j}^{*} Y_{j, 2}
$$

be a synthetic control for $Y_{1,2}(0)$. Show whether a synthetic control approach identifies $\theta$ under the above assumptions. If the answer is yes, explain what is the fundamental assumption that delivers identification. If the answer is no, explain what is the fundamental missing assumption that does not permit identification.

